

REMARKS

Claims 3-12, 14-17, 19-22, 24-30 and 32-45 are pending in the present application. In the above amendments, claims 3, 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 19, 25, 30 and 36-45 are amended. Claims 46-54 have been added. Therefore, after entry of the above amendments, claims 3-4, 6, 8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-21, 25-28, 30, 32-34 and 36-54 will be pending in this application. Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.

1. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

The Examiner rejected Claims 3-6, 9-12, 16, 19-22, 25-29, 32-35, 39, 41-42, and 44-45 were rejected as being allegedly anticipated by Le (U.S. Patent No. 6,466,585). Applicants respectfully respond to this rejection.

Applicants respectfully submit that Le does not disclose "formatting information includes formatting said information to at least one vocoder-like frames," as now claimed in all independent claims 39-45. This limitation was previously claimed in claims 39-45, and disclosed on page 7, line 35, through page 8, line 7. On the contrary, Le discloses "media is converted into a communications-like format," (Col. 3, lines 12-13), and "converted into the radio-link format," (Col. 3, lines 32-34).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner that Le discloses this limitation in col. 7, lines 12-21; col. 9, lines 48-50; and col. 11, lines 11-13, as cited by the examiner, or anywhere else therein. In col. 7, lines 12-21, Le discloses "dedicated codes are used by which to encode the information prior to transmission," which does not disclose formatting information into vocoder frames. In col. 9, lines 48-50, Le discloses "[o]nce the radio-link formatted information is received at the receiver, the packet data-format of the information is regenerated," which does not disclose formatting information into vocoder frames. In col. 11, lines 11-13, Le discloses "[t]he packet is formed of a header portion. . . . Appended to the header portion is a data payload 296, such as voice data," which does not disclose formatting information into vocoder frames either.

Therefore, since Le does not disclose at least the above claimed limitation, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw this rejection.

Attorney Docket No. 990341

2. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The Examiner rejected Claims 7, 24, 30, 36-38, 40 and 43 as being allegedly unpatentable over Le (U.S. Patent No. 6,466,585) in view of Yoon (U.S. Patent No. 5,857,782). Applicants respectfully respond to this rejection.

Applicants respectfully submit that Le does not disclose "formatting information includes formatting said information to at least one vocoder-like frames," as discussed above. Applicants also submit that Yoon does not disclose this limitation either. Therefore, since none of the references discloses at least the above limitation, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw this rejection.

3. Allowed Claims

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation allowance of claim 8, and have amended this claim to include its base independent claim.

4. New Claims

Applicants have added new claims 46-54, directed to subject matter already claimed in claim 8 as well as claims 5, 7, 9, 22, 24, 29 and 35. No new matter is added.

Attorney Docket No. 990341

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 2/16/05

By: Abdollah Katbab
Abdollah Katbab, Reg. No. 45,325
(858) 651-4132

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502